

Annex 3 – Consultation Responses

Broadstairs & St Peters Neighbourhood Plan Area

Question: Do you support the proposed Cliffsend Neighbourhood Plan Area?	Question: Do you support the proposed Cliffsend Neighbourhood Plan Area? Comment
10	Belvidere Place 43 Belvedere Road Broadstairs As long as there is a fair representational sample of residents present when any decision making process is put into practice. It should benefit the area for locals to take responsibility.
10	It is essential for communities to take control of their environment to stop the imposition of ill advised and ill informed bodies imposing their ideas on an area that they care little or nothing about. Development needs to be planned carefully and be blended with a conservationist approach to keep the character and integrity of our town. Fundamentally it is an extension of basic democratic principles of self determination. Too often big businesses and cut and run developers have ridden rough shod over local wider interests and long term benefits in return for an easy quick buck. Local planners have failed numerous times, even within the conservation area I live in, to protect it and maintain its core ambience. We also have minimal green spaces and they need to be protected and enhances, not to be built on.
10	Whilst some magnificent work by TDC has improved both Ramsgate and Margates tourist zones, Broadstairs seems to have been forgotten. Harbour street in particular is a disgrace. It is a mix of Tarmac, broken or filthy street furniture and no traffic management. This is probably the most visited street by visitors in Thanet and yet it gets very little consideration. Maybe a Broadstairs neighbourhood plan could start to address this and other very local issues.
1	Broadstairs is Broadstairs! No reason to change boundaries.
10	

1	Boundaries for parish area must remain in line with 1990 act so as to protect against over development. Change to the area can adversely affect other areas . Already major developments are encroaching on these area. The 5 electoral wards must remain. It is essential to protect the green wedges and spaces Future development needs to include green areas for biodiversity not just odd trees. Restrict removal of front gardens for car parking especially where owners have 2 or more cars identify corridors and B-Lines (new initiative). These need to be identified extending from SSI's across Thanet and the South east. (I would like to be involved in identifying these. Invest in planting clover with wild flower seed on roundabouts and also along roadside verges to help with survival of bees which are in decline
10	The area should be the land within the CT10 postcode. I cannot understand the idea that Westwood be taken out of the area.
10	I fully agree with the plan area, Westwood cross has always been under Broadstairs plan and has blossomed under Broadstairs and to be associated with a prestigious affluent area, and to be under any other area would be to its disadvantage.
10	This is a unique community and should be run by the Town Council not a District Council which i must say have sat on the fence over every major decision that they should have been taken in the last two or three years. May I ask why, when this consultation is still on going, does TDC see fit to try and offload OUR Pierremont Hall? to developers? I really have lost faith in TDC lately. This proposal is ideal for our community and should be supported by all.
1	Please leave the boundary where it is. We do not want any alterations to it !
10	Broadstairs is unique the farmland and open spaces must be retained for our water table to survive. We have seen development over the years losing valuable farmland and open spaces. In the past borders were clearly defined. With the development creep these have been encroached on. There is already severe strain on the infrastructure flash flooding caused by the amount of concrete built over former green spaces. The system can't cope it was never designed for this relentless increase in population. Our water supplies are affected in drought conditions the hosepipe bans will come in. 30 years ago Southern Water was going to build the Broad Oak reservoir which would relieve the pressure on the aquifers. The way things are going this project is even more urgently required. The land has already been purchased and buildings demolished. Don't even think about building houses in there!

10	<p>ensure that this area is not lost and that we don't lose our own identity by just becoming part of Thanet. We do need to do more to keep the area clean and tidy - not just in the town centres. We need proper cleaning by being proactive not reactive. We need cleaners who have pride in their work and supervisors who ensure that the areas are kept clean. More needs to be done about sweeping our roads and paths. Why is the grit allowed to accumulate around roundabouts and traffic islands not cleaned up? Waste collectors need to pick up what they drop. In other towns you see one of the team following behind the lorries picking up dropped waste - keeping the streets cleaner. We need to do away with the red bags used for paper. After a windy night there always seems to be more litter about!! The roads leading into Thanet are an eyesore and people who drop litter should be fined. Take Maidstone for instance where there are signs warning about litter dropping - such as "No ifs or not our existing ones becoming even more stretched. We must maintain our open spaces and fields at all costs. We should do more for children of all ages to ensure they are stimulated when not at school. Prices should be kept to a minimum so all can enjoy. Why are we not encouraging companies to build entertainment facilities rather than housing?</p>
10	
10	
10	<p>I believe the Town Council should be empowered to take more strategic decisions that affect its residents. There is emphasis on Ramsgate and Margate due to deprivation or economic factors that divert TDC resources. The Plan area if in line with the political boundary offers an opportunity to refocus on local problems. There needs to be careful consideration though with regard to Kingsgate that is somewhat isolated from the general Broadstairs & St Peters urban area. In addition the Westwood and Northwood boundaries will require collaborative working as they are not entirely within the political boundary areas. Westwood in particular is a thriving commercial area - realistically a new town centre for Thanet. Although this has served to protect local jobs rather than seeing them leak away to Canterbury it does provide a challenge for all traditional Thanet towns.</p>
10	<p>Local democracy, a voice, is important. We need to have a say in our area and what we want it to look like. Conservation and preservation of our area - buildings etc Upkeep and sympathetic development of the area. Community provision - clubs, sports, recreation, libraries, etc Cleanliness of the area - beaches, streets, public toilets, dog wardens, refuse collections etc Attracting and keeping small businesses - favourable rates and help Clamping down on derelict buildings - houses, shops, business units. Clamping down on anti-social behaviour - particularly on the beach in the summer. Provision of fairly priced car parking for visitors and residents alike.</p>

10	
1	Because half of it is in cliftonville and Ramsgate.
10	Westwood Cross must be included
10	
10	
10	Broadstairs and the St Peters area has changed little in the 40 odd years that I have known it. One of the many reasons that so many of our friends enjoy visiting is that the seafront and the town itself have changed little and this has helped to give the area its unique feel, as if you are 'coming home' all over again.
10	The Neighbourhood Plan for Broadstairs and St. Peter's should cover the whole of the area as outlined on the online. It is vitally important that this should include that area of Westwood as marked on the map.
10	it's logical for the area to covered includes the whole of Broadstairs and St Peter's.
10	I think that the inhabitants of this area should have input into any proposals for change and or development
10	Westwood should remain in the Broadstairs and St Peter's Town council's Neighbourhood Plan. Thanet does not need to be fragmented any more than it is already. We need the Local Town Councils to protect us from TDC who only seem to care about Margate.
1	It does not include Westwood Cross Shopping Centre
1	
10	
10	The boundaries should include part of Westwood Cross. It has both advantages and disadvantages, so these should be shared by all 3 towns.

10	<p>is vital that Westwood Cross is included in the plan, and within our boundary as it should be considered a key part of our Neighbourhood plan, I love our High St. and shop there daily, it is the heart of our community, but will not deny that WWX does provide additional facilities which are great. The continued development at WWX will have a major impact on our town, and therefore if that development is not considered is not included within the Neighbourhood plan for Broadstairs, there will be little or no control over the implications for the continued existence of the town, its businesses and its very specific identity. My major fear about not including it within planning for the future of Broadstairs, is that if we are not careful, Broadstairs will become just a 'suburb' of a shopping centre, rather than the very distinctive wonderful town that it is. That will have major implications for our tourism and any new inward investment opportunities, on which so many businesses and jobs rely.</p>
10	<p>The whole of the parish of Broadstairs and St. Peters should be designated as a neighbourhood area and I do not want the boundaries changed at all.</p>
10	<p>As a resident of Broadstairs/St Peter's for over 55 years, I think the Neighbour Plan Area proposed for the people of Broadstairs and St Peter's should cover ALL of Broadstairs and St Peter's within the current boundary. Any development at Westwood Cross is of significance to the people of Broadstairs and St Peter's, and as such they should be able to express their views on the suitability of any future plans.</p>
10	<p>As a resident of Broadstairs/St Peter's for over 55 years, I think the plan made for the people of Broadstairs and St Peter's should cover ALL of Broadstairs and St Peter's, including those parts of Westwood Cross within the current designated boundary, which has historic significance. Any development of Westwood Cross has a direct impact on our community, and local opinion should be sought and taken account of in any future plans.</p>
10	<p>I have listened to the points raised by the local Broadstairs Town Council and I support the proposed plan to include Westwood Cross into Broadstairs.</p>
10	<p>It is vital that there is a Neighbourhood Plan for Broadstairs and St Peter's to ensure that the individual character and history of this unusual and distinctive town is maintained and promoted both now and in the future. This can only be achieved if the residents and businesses of Broadstairs and St Peters are able to develop a shared plan for the area that really reflects this town's historical and rare character.</p>

10	I would go further. Westwood is a vital resource for the District. Historically the area around Westwood Farm and Westwood Lodge were/are part of Broadstairs. Thus, when the initial developments, Bannatynes, Broadstairs Retail Park, Tesco Extra, Westwood Cross came into being, it made logical sense to have them within Broadstairs. As Broadstairs and St Peter's TC has 40 years planning experience, it is well placed to support the views of local residents, as it has done for all those who live in the town. To remove Westwood residents from their historical "birth place" would remove such support and be a backward step. Indeed, I would go further to have the whole of the Westwood block within Broadstairs, from McDonalds into Westwood Cross, including the new Sainsburys store, up to New Haine Road up to and along Star Lane, and up to and thru Poorhole Lane (including Westwood Lodge). For clarity: New Haine Road, ie the bit which Toby Carvery is, but with the boundary in the middle of the road, so the Carvery is Ramsgate, but the rear of Westwood Cross is Broadstairs; Star Lane, the side closest to the rear of WX would be Broadstairs, that facing Margate, to be Margate
10	Alogical bundary with it's own identity
10	Because I'm utterly unconvinced with the wider governance that we've had in Thanet. I believe that the decisions made have failed to address the needs of Broadstairs residents and I have little confidence with the existing system.
11	Westwood Cross as a new "town centre" for Thanet should not be included in Broadstairs, or Ramsgate or Margate. It should have its own plan.
1	It should be drawn according to the current parish boundaries and include Westwood Cross in Broadstairs area as development there is vital to Broadstairs future success and the revenues are needed in The area of influence of Broadstairs Neighbourhood plan.
10	
10	I have lived in Broadstairs since 1979 and grown to really care about my town and all the long serving businesses as well as the new ones. Broadstairs has kept it's character and has a lovely atmosphere and seafront. It has kept a thriving high street and is a lovely place to live. I've brought my daughter up in this lovely town and she has received an excellent education from the local schools and community spirit and although grown up and left home still comes back to visit often. Broadstairs currently provides everything my family needs and i don't want this to change.
10	I believe the best people to run a community are the people who live in that area. I would like to be able to have input in what happens in my immediate area.
10	

10	I cannot see that much will change!
10	I think Broadstairs is different enough from the other towns in Thanet to warrant its own consideration.
10	
10	I support the designated Area,(by which I imagine you mean the outlined area on the map). It is very unclear how you are asking for comments on this form as it looks as if you are only asking whether we support the Area rather than the Plan. I would not support any one way system that would take cars through residential areas, eg Crow Hill and Carlton Avenue. No other suggestions other than agreeing with what has already been said about more facilities for young people, esp teenagers.
10	
10	The adoption of a community led 'Broadstairs and St Peter's Neighbourhood Plan' will help complement the local authority's duty and responsibility to maintain and enhance the well-being of our community by protecting and improving the built environment, open spaces, infrastructure and shopping centres. The document will be a statutory guide to permitted development. It is imperative that the 'Plan' must encompass all Wards: Including the St Peter's 'Westwood Cross' area.
10	
10	
10	Important that local issues are decided at a local level, and that local people residents are involved in what happens in our town.
10	The Local Plan should be based on the current Broadstairs & St Peters ward boundaries.
1	I live in Broadstairs on the border of WWX. Any further development in the Westwood area will have an impact on Broadstairs, I think this area should be included in the neighbourhood as I would like a say on future plans.
10	
1	I think the area should include Westwood, as this has for centuries been part of St Peter's----and is very relevant.
1	i feel broadstairs and st peters should be involved in the planning of the area, this will directly affect the people of broadstairs and st peters including the business in it who have already done a lot of work in the area bringing in tourism, sponsoring events that bring a lot to the local area - it seems the Council want them when they want something and chose to ignore them when they feel like it.

10	It's what the people of Broadstairs and St Peter's and their immediate representatives have decided and covers what affects them on a local level.
11	I would support the Broadstairs and St Peter's Neighbourhood Plan as long as the Westwood Cross area was included.
10	Westwood should remain within the boundary of the plan. Westwood has a major impact on the towns of Broadstairs and St Peter's and it is very important if this plan is to be effective, that it contains Westwood. The communities of these areas should be able to have influence over and a view on what happens in Westwood.
10	Any decisions for the future within the town boundary of Broadstairs & St Peter's will have a large impact on the town and residents and I think the residents should have some control and say over that. Any new developments, commercial or residential, should contribute to the town for any loss of greenfield sites, negative impact on the town, its resources finances and services, as well as its accessibility.
10	
10	I feel that traffic in Broadstairs and St Peters is a real problem. The speed of traffic on narrow streets where the pavements are also narrow is dangerous to pedestrians, and perpetuates a vicious cycle where more people use cars because walking is unpleasant and dangerous. I hope improvements will include the introduction of more appropriate speed limits.

1	<p>REMOVING ANY AREA OUT OF THE PLAN IS SIMPLY LUDICROUS I.E. WESTWOOD CROSS THE COUNCIL AND ITS PLANNERS HAVE MADE A SERIOUS MESS OF THIS PART OF BROADSTAIRS THEY HAVE ALLOWED WHAT COULD HAVE BEEN A VERY SUCCESSFUL DEVELOPMENT TO DETERIATE INTO A TRANSPORT NIGHTMARE FOR ALL RESIDENTS OF THANT AND ANY PEOPLE WANTING TO COME INTO THAT PART OF BROADSTAIRS. CAUSING ALL OF WESTWOOD ROAD TO BE A NIGHTMARE AND EVEN WORSE AY SCHOOL TIMES. INSUFFICIENT AND THOUGHTFUL ROAD PLANNING BY OVERPAID EXECUTIVES BOTH AT THANET COUNCIL AND KCC YOU HAVE ALLOWED OUT AREA TO DEGENERATE INTO SOMETHINGONE WOULD EXPECT IN A THIRD WORLD. IF THE COUNCIL DO NOT PURCHASE MANSTON AIRPORT THE WHOLE AREA WILL DEGENERATE FURTHER BY EVEN FURTHER UNREQUIRED HOUSE BUILDING ETC WHICH IS NOT NEEDED IT IS JUST NOT WORTH EVEN CONSULTATING THE PUBLIC AS THE COUNCIL RARELY HAVE THE COUNCIL TO FACE UP TO TOUGH DECISIONS THE EASY WAY IS THE ONLY DECISIONS THEY APPEAR TO MAKE.</p>
1	<p>I would rather that the people of Broadstairs retained control of Westwood to prevent unrestrained development which would be detrimental to the area as a whole. I don't believe that the current proposal will allow this. Broadstairs and the surrounding towns should be run in the interests of the inhabitants and NOT retailers, developers and TDC Thanks B Britton 24 Pieerment Ave</p>
10	<p>Broadstairs & St Peter's areas need to be considered as a whole for a neighbourhood plan. developments within the area need to be considered as a whole to ensure that the character and charm are not lost from either.</p>
10	<p>I agree with the reasons put forward</p>
10	<p>I want the plan to include all of Broadstairs, St Peters and Westwood.</p>
10	<p>It appears to me to be comprehensively inclusive especially with regards to Westwood Cross after all Pearce Signs was always situated in Broadstairs so why not Westwood.</p>
10	
10	
10	<p>because local people should be able to influence local planning.</p>
10	

10	It is important that residents are involved in their neighbourhood and environment and can take part in any debate, discussion or votes where relevant. Broadstairs and St Peter's and Westwood (Broadstairs part) should all be included in the Plan area. Without inclusion in Westwood decisions and planning matters could be taken without any local consultation. There is already too much development creeping in. Residents and local business opinions are important. Please think carefully before making a decision on boundaries.
10	The proposed boundary appears to cover the area of Broadstairs and St Peters. Although I live well within the proposed boundary, I can understand people living close to the boundaries (whether just inside or just outside) may have stronger views on where the boundary lies. It is their thoughts and justifications for boundary changes that should be carefully considered.
10	Although the areas are unique and have their own characteristics, they are closely connected with events in one place impacting on another. Therefore the plan should include all.
10	having read the proposals put forward agree
10	
10	A community led Neighbourhood plan can only benefit the people in the area, after all we are the one's who live here and are therefore the people that this will benefit.
10	
10	
10	Need to preserve the unique character of the area. Need to improve green issues of transport. Need to improve tourist facilities, including coach management. B&StP is not given sufficient support by TDC.
10	General public should be involved at every level to ensure a democratic process. The council need as many opinions as possible to manage the people's views.
10	To maintain the beauty and tourism of the area the desirability to visit and stay for both residential and businesses. Maintaining and improving on what we already have will help keep crime down and aid in employment through tourism and business
10	To maintain the integrity of the local area.
10	Seems sensibly drawn, though maybe the area near Kingsgate could go to the junction, rather than cut across just before it.

1	
10	The Plan area follows the boundaries of Broadstairs and St Peter's Town Council which is eminently sensible.
10	I think the area proposed is just perfect for our neighbourhood.
10	The Plan needs to be able to focus on what is of particular importance anywhere in the entire area of the Broadstairs and St. Peter's.
10	
10	
10	THE AREA NEEDS TO BE AS LARGE AS POSSIBLE TO INCLUDE RESIDENTIAL AREAS AROUND BROADSTAIRS TOWN CENTRE. PARISH COUNCILS ARE OUTDATED, IRRELEVANT AND BASED ON RELIGIOUS PARISH AREAS. THERE SHOULD BE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE SUB AREAS OF BROADSTAIRS WITH THE ABILITY TO COLLATE LOCAL VIEWS AND VOTE.
10	
10	
10	In principle though I am unsure about the inclusion of Kingsgate due to the large area of open land between it and Broadstairs/St Peters also Westwood seems to be a bit out on a limb.
10	.I believe that a Neighbourhood Plan for Broadstairs & St. Peter's is essential to protect the character of the town and surrounding area and would like Thanet District Council to designate the whole of Broadstairs & St. Peter' s as the area to be covered by the Town Plan
10	So that Broadstairs residents can have more say about their town, instead of being 'ruled' by TDC.
10	I live in Broadstairs.
10	I would like TDC to designate the whole of Broadstairs and St.Peter,s as the neighbourhood area for the Town plan currently being prepared by Broadstairs and St. Peter's Town Council - every square inch of Broadstairs and St. Peter's is important to all those who live here
10	Please retain Broadstairs and St Peters as the neighbourhood area. Please attempt to do more regarding the eyesore buildings that are still empty at the bottom of Broadstairs High Street (Owned by Panther Securities).
10	I would like to be informed/consulted about any proposed changes within my area.

10	The area proposed covers the current Town Boundary and it is right that all these areas are included as they all contribute to the development of the town and all impact on other areas of the town.
10	A chance for the local people to have a say in local issues in the hope that they know what is best for their community.
10	
10	
10	To enhance the area of Broadstairs and St. Peters
1	I feel that this could be open to corruption at this level! Plus I feel that this will spit Broadstairs from the rest of Thanet and will cost us the RATEPAYER MORE MONEY! Plus I feel that Broadstairs Town Council will not consult as much as TDC does! As most of the councillors in Broadstairs are also members of TDC what's the point!
10	It gives a voice to Broadstairs. Although I wonder how this is funded considering we have just closed Pierremont Hall and selling off our assets.
10	I believe Broadstairs and St Peters are part of one another, and need to be officially recognised as such. Together we can manage our amenities and facilities much more efficiently. It makes sense, and will be more easy to manage
	I support the plan as designated by the Broadstairs Neighbourhood Plan group: ie. All of Broadstairs and St Peter's
10	
1	I believe the area is too big and the Kingsgate area has little in common with central Broadstairs. I am not convinced that St Peter's has much in common with Broadstairs. The area should be split into 2 or 3 smaller areas. I remain unconvinced that Broadstairs and St Peter's Town Council serves any useful function.
10	
10	
10	because it makes sense for them to be treated together.

10	It is vital that local people can shape any future development or infrastructure improvements in the area in which they live. By engaging with this process, residents should feel that their opinions do make a difference and that they are being 'listened to' as well as helping to inform the decisions local councillors finally take at the end of the process.
10	it is important to keep it as Broadstairs and St. Peters and doesnt encroach any further than the Blacknlines on the plan.
10	
10	It should comprise all of Broadstairs and St.Peters
10	Increased devolution.
10	
10	
10	It seems a well considered area
10	
10	I believe that Broadstairs town council are the best authority to decide on issues within the planned area.
10	Broadstairs and St Peters is a distinct geographic area, not to be confused and swallowed up by its neighbouring towns with very different characters.
10	Broadstairs and St Peters should have overall responsibility for its own area, it has the knowledge of the local area and a finger on the pulse with the local community.
10	
10	It is appropriate that any development within the Town Council boundaries should be included in the neighbourhood plan.
10	It makes sense for a Broadstairs and St Peter's plan to cover the whole of the area.
10	It gives local people the chance to have input into planning and development within their area.
10	
10	Because it is important that local areas are protected and looked after by and for the people who live there. It is therefore necessary for the area to be protected is adequately defined and in this case it is sensible that Broadstairs and St Peter's are looked at as a single entity to avoid the area becoming unbalanced.
10	I fully support the plan area as long as it covers ALL of both

	Broadstairs and St Peter's.
10	The town boundary is the area represented by the ward councillors. People in Broadstairs and St Peter's generally feel strongly that they belong to the town and not Margate or Ramsgate. Localism - and democracy - puts the wishes of the local residents at the centre of decisions. Therefore I do not believe it is not right that an unelected officer, who has no authorisation at all, or a district council (which represents the town only as part of a larger area with borders based arbitrarily on political motives and not democratic ones) should be able to change boundaries at their whim. That is not democratic and leaves the plan boundaries open to abuse. Due to the political involvement in decision-making, it could enable a district council to make decisions to the detriment of one town, in order to appease another area's residents where the decision-makers (it the cabinet councillors) hope to improve their political appeal.
10	It is overdue and I am grateful that at last progress is to be made
10	I support the opportunity that we as residents have to have a say in the neighbourhood plan, to better the area we live in, including all of Broadstairs and St Peters.
10	Speaking both as a Town Councillor for Broadstairs, and as a private citizen who lives there, I feel passionately that we, who live in the town, ought to have a greater say in what goes on in our town. The proposed borders take in the regions of Broadstairs itself, St Peters and Kingsgate as well as a part of Westwood Cross, and these should stay as proposed, even the disputed area of Westwood Cross.
10	The plan should include all of Broadstairs and St Peters regardless of usage including the area around Westwood Cross.
10	It echoes the sentiments of the majority of residents
10	Almost everyone who lives in the area likes it for what it is - so lets preserve it where possible but be mindful that we also have to keep up with things - like development of skatepark etc.
10	It seems to follow the natural boundry of Broadstairs and st Peters and therefor to represent the interests of said peoples .
10	It needs to kept small and of historical importance. Need more parking for coaches, tourists etc, keep green areas green and improve facilities like toilets and play areas. It is a great idea.

10	will mirror the original urban district council to remain separate from Margate and Ramsgate. The revenues from Westwood Cross and the urban district be spent on Broadstairs and not subsidising Ramsgate and Margate, who have benefitted at the expense of Broadstairs. Green space is at a very limited premium in Broadstairs with practically only the beach as exercise and play space. Main Bay (also known more recently as Viking Bay) needs protecting and its Victorian remains need refurbishing, without developers building on this unique beach and removing its special character. The gradual silting up of the Main Bay will ultimately result in the demise of few fishing vessels that still remain. The town is very dirty and requires more street cleaners to attract tourism. Toilet facilities are third world, and on entering Broadstairs visitors have been directed to local hostleries, rather than having decent facilities provided for the general public. This is unfair to ugh there.
10	It mirrors the old boundaries
10	it is the area which the Broadstairs and St Peters Town Council is responsible for and so it constitutes our neighbourhood which constitutes a logical boundary. It would not be practical to try to produce a Plan or Plans for a different boundaried area.
10	
10	In this fast changing world it is extremely important the local communities opinions are fully taken into account with regards to:- Future Development Maintaining green & open spaces Maintaining the green wedge between the town of Margate & Ramsgate Ensuring traffic is managed correctly with particular regard to Westwood Safeguarding our parks and school playing fields Maintaining the historical and listed buildings, especially those currently owned by TDC Ensuring development is in keeping with the surrounding area and is not detrimental to the wellbeing of existing residents.
10	

10	<p>is vital that anyone living and working in Broadstairs is consulted and has a say in what further developments affect our local environment and infrastructure. We have ideas, we understand the issues. With the changes in planning law, increasingly one is made to feel that our views do not matter, we have views on trying to preserve the character and unique town that we have, whilst understanding the need to provide additional resources and housing within the area. All we ask is that we are consulted and given an opportunity to ensure that whatever development does happen, is done in a consultative way, sympathetically, and evolving, not eroding the key facilities and features of Broadstairs. Our green spaces must be preserved, key community assets, like Pierremont Hall, retained, even if with a new purpose and function, and perhaps in conjunction with local investors and developers. Once key icons like the undercliff beach huts, Pierremont Hall, and Memorial Recreation ground are gone, they are gone forever. Through lack of investment, imagination, as sad victims of local petty political disagreements, these assets I feel are seriously under threat, and with them goes the opportunity to expand Broadstairs' appeal to a wider audience, given how much the town relies on tourism</p>
1	<p>Agree with all of the area apart from Westwood which has completely differing needs and ambitions.</p>
10	<p>The whole of Broadstairs and St Peter's Parish is the right area for which the Town Council should make a Neighbourhood Plan. Even the small part of Westwood that is within Broadstairs and St Peter's should be included, because that area is as much part of the neighbourhood as any other, and also what happens at Westwood affects the town centre of Broadstairs and the village centre of St Peter's.</p>